



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 2231311450
www.usplo.gov

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/482,327	01/14/2000	Jeffrey Dwork	52352-314	6835
20277 . 75	590 04/01/2004		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			PARTON, KEVIN S	
	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2153	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/482,327	DWORK ET AL.	~0
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· -· ,
	Kevin Parton	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	anuary 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-21 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		<b>F</b> or a section of	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat nty documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	, C	(570.440)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1 and 4-11 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 01/08/2004 regarding claims 12-21 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please see the following reasons and the grounds of rejection below.
- 3. The applicant argues that the "Examiner provided no factual basis...that Daines necessarily includes the claimed descriptor management unit for managing receive descriptors pointing to receive buffers...and the flow control mechanism for...performing flow control in accordance with the number of available receive descriptors...instead, the Examiner relies on a dictionary's definition of descriptors" (page 6, paragraph 3). The applicant further argues "one skilled in the art...would understand that the Daines flow control system does not necessarily involve managing receive descriptors" (page 6, paragraph 4). The argument is not persuasive because the examiner showed in the previous rejection that although Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) does not specifically refer to descriptors, it incorporates the use of descriptors as they are defined in the Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary. Since a descriptor is defined as simply "... a piece of stored information used to describe something else..." it is true that the method of Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) uses descriptors. The buffers of Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) are monitored and each is separately considered and information describing that buffer must be stored to be sent along with the flow control information. The difference between Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) and the claims is semantic and the dictionary reference is used simply to point

this out. The new grounds of rejection below points out the inherent nature of descriptors used in the system of Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Claims 12-17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).
- 5. Regarding claim 12, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach a network interface device for providing an interface between a data network and a computer system, the device comprising:
  - a. A descriptor management unit for managing receive descriptors pointing to receive buffers allocated to receive data from the network medium (column 6, lines 58-62).
  - b. An automatic flow control mechanism for automatically performing flow control in accordance with the number of available receive descriptors pointing to the receive buffers available for receiving data from the network medium (figure 2, element 25; column 5, lines 25-29; column 7, lines 6-13).

Please note that Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim.

6. Regarding claim 13, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 12. They further teach means wherein the receive buffers are arranged in a memory of the computer system (figure 1).

Regarding claim 14, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to 7. claim 12. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to automatically request a remote station in the data network to suspend data transmission when the number of available descriptors drops below a first threshold value (column 7, lines 6-13).

Please note that Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim.

Regarding claims 15 and 20, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as 8. applied to claims 14 and 19, respectively. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to enable the remote transmitter to resume data transmission when the number of available descriptors rises above a second threshold level (column 7, lines 18-26).

Please note that Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference

Application/Control Number: 09/482,327 Page 5

Art Unit: 2153

must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim.

- 9. Regarding claim 16, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 15. They further teach means wherein the second threshold value is higher than the first threshold value (column 7, lines 6-26). Please note that the reference and the claims use inverse notation to describe the thresholds, but they are the same. The claims refer to buffer availability, whereas the reference refers to the amount of the buffer that is occupied. According to the disclosure, the second threshold of the reference is lower than the first, but if put in the context of the claims, the buffer has a higher availability, thus it monitors a higher threshold of buffer availability.
- 10. Regarding claim 17, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 12. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to automatically request the remote transmitter to suspend data transmission when the number of available descriptors drops below a preprogrammed threshold value (column 7, lines 6-13).

Please note that Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim.

11. Regarding claim 19, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach a system of automatic flow control in a network interface between a data network and a computer system with means for:

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/482,327

Art Unit: 2153

- a. Monitoring the number of receive descriptors pointing to buffers in the computer system available for receiving data from the network (column 5, lines 25-29).
- b. Automatically requesting a remote station in the data network to suspend data transmission when the number of available receive descriptors drops below a first preprogrammed threshold level (column 7, lines 6-13).

Please note that Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) in view of Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613).
- 14. Regarding claims 18 and 21, although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) (as applied to claims 17, and 19, respectively) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein:

Application/Control Number: 09/482,327

Art Unit: 2153

a. The automatic flow control mechanism is configured to enable the remote transmitter to resume data transmission after a preprogrammed time interval, if the number of available descriptors is not less than the preprogrammed threshold value.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422), as evidenced by Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613).

In an analogous art, Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613) discloses a system for activation of flow control based on buffer availability wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to enable the remote transmitter to resume data transmission after a preprogrammed time interval, if the number of available descriptors is not less than the preprogrammed threshold value (column 3, lines 43-46; column 4, lines 17-20; column 5, lines 37-45).

Given the teaching of Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) by employing the use of a timer to determine when transmission from the transmitting node can resume. The system benefits by not having to spend processor time measuring the lower threshold of the buffer and then sending a message to the transmitting node. This saves processor time and network congestion. The benefit of having both the lower threshold and the time-based resumption of transmission can be used to differentiate between buffers utilized for different purposes. Those in extremely high traffic and critical applications may need to use the former, the lower priority applications may use the time-based method.

Please note that Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim.

#### Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see the following:
  - a. Linville et al. (USPN 6,170,022) Monitors buffer space and suspends transmission for a predetermined time.
  - b. Erimli et al. (USPN 6,405,259) System for monitoring buffer availability and suspending transmission from a source until buffers are available.
  - c. Flanagan et al. (USPN 5,781,801) System that uses descriptors to monitor a pool of buffers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Parton whose telephone number is (703)306-0543. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703)305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,327

Art Unit: 2153

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Parton Examiner Art Unit 2153

ksp

SUPERATION BY BURGESS
SUPERATION PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY DENTER 2100